UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/561,946 | 06/06/2006 | Seiji Hosokawa | YAMAP0998US | 3079 | |
| 43076 7590 06/24/2010 MARK D. SARALINO (GENERAL) RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP 1621 EUCLID AVENUE, NINETEENTH FLOOR | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | GWARTNEY, ELIZABETH A | | |
| | OH 44115-2191 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1781 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 06/24/2010 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | 10/561,946 | HOSOKAWA, S | SEIJI | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | ELIZABETH GWARTNEY | 1781 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | ldress | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | · | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office The control of the con | e letter mailed on 11 December 2000 | | | | | |
| (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of | Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on |), which is after the | | | | |
| (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | | |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. | | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). | | | | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | | |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the ass | ignee of the entire i | interest, or all of | | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by ar 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | n attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity u | nder 37 CFR | | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair | | e the period for see | eking court review | | | |
| 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: | | | | | | |
| In a telephonic interview June 17, 2010, assistant to abandoned. | o Mark Saralino confirmed that ap | pplication no. 10/5 | 61,946 is | | | |
| /Keith D. Hendricks/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1781 | /E. G./ Examiner, Art Unit 1781 | | | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraminimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | aw the holding of abandonment under 37 | CFR 1.181, should be | e promptly filed to | | | |
| | of Abandonment | Part of Pa | per No. 20100617 | | | |